

CCB Meeting

Decision Summary

Thurs., July 10, 2003
9:00 a.m.
Rockledge 1
OER Conf. Rm., Third Floor

Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Val Sorokine	Crater, Commons application in Production	Environment Change	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item1
02	Lee Brewer	Commons servers, crux, crater, corvus, deneb, demios	Environment Change	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item2
03	Lee Brewer	Commonsdemo: procluster mount point /documents/commonsdemo	Environment Change	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item3
04	Dan Hall	Commons Demo Facility	Deferral of Release	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item4
05	Krishna Collie	CM WEB	Requirements Clarification	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item5
06	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Defect Fix	Critical	CCB_07_10_2003_item6
W1	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Emergency Fix	Critical	CCB_07_10_2003_item_w1
*	Sara Silver	Shared Persons Module	Requirements Change	High	Emergency – approved via Email

Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Val Sorokine	Crater, Commons application in Production	Environment Change	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item1
	<p>Request: Operations team is proposing that we change Java server parameters for the Commons application in Production on CRATER, in order to put the application's JVM into Debug mode. Oracle Support recommended it to troubleshoot the issue with growing threads and memory leak within the JVM in our 9iAS R2 OHS environment (Oracle i TAR # 3009068.999).</p> <p>Decision: Approved for test only (after August release)</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Scheduled weekly resets will continue in production to workaround this known issue. Unable to reproduce in test to date. More test resources will be applied to issue following August release. LoadRunner scripts will need to be developed. <p>Action Items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (Mike Wilson) Add hours to maintenance estimates for next release to account for needed "thread analysis". (Brad Sachar) Identify tools needed to perform thread analysis and make recommendation to Jim Cain for purchase approval. 				
02	Lee Brewer	Commons servers, crux, crater, corvus, deneb, demios	Environment Change	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item2

	<p>Request: FROM CIT: "In an effort to isolate the high thread count problem found on Corvus and Crater, Sun Engineering has suggested the use of a third party product called "Optimizeit". This software suite can be found http://www.borland.com/optimizeit and provides a Thread Debugger for Java. Taken directly from the vendors web page:</p> <p>"With real-time, visual views of the status of all threads, mysterious thread issues are more easily spotted and tracked back to the responsible line of code. Optimizeit Thread Debugger provides remote process connectivity and tight IDE integration, and it fully integrates with popular J2EE platform application servers."</p> <p>This product comes with a free 10-day license (which can probably be extended). Please take the time to review this software as. I feel it may greatly contribute to helping us isolate and hopefully resolve this behavior.</p> <p>We would like to request that CIT be allowed to install the trial version to troubleshoot the threading problem on the listed commons servers.</p> <p>Decision: Decision: Approved for test only (after August release)</p>				
03	Lee Brewer	Commonsdemo: prodcluster mount point /documents/commonsdemo	Environment Change	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item3
	<p>Request: We need to put the current mount point /documents/commonsdemo under quota control. In its current state a rogue process from commonsdemo or user could take up the entire disk space that is allotted to all of the production documents area. To do this, we need to make sure that /documents/commonsdemo is mounted as a fileset.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes: 1. Action to be taken during scheduled maintenance window.</p>				
04	Dan Hall	Commons Demo Facility	Deferral of Release	High	CCB 07 10 2003 item4
	<p>Request: To reduce Configuration Management Complexity associated with the 8/1 release, Development requested that it would be beneficial if we could delay the release of Commons Demo Facility a few days. This won't affect the users. Therefore, this CCB formally requests an extension of the production deployment of Demo Facility to no later than 8/9 at 11PM.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes: 1. (Dan Hall) Becoming standard practice to separate deployments of Commons and Commons Demo. Should be discussed off-line.</p>				
05	Krishna Collie	CM WEB	Requirements Clarification	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item5
	<p>Request: During integration testing the tester uncovered that the following statements listed below were not explicitly stated in the use case. The requirements in the roster maintenance use case needs to explicitly state the default values for 3 items. They are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Voucher Report, NIH-1715. This report will print vouchers for travel_type_code = 'V'. Only consultants who participate in IRG or SEP meetings are eligible to be paid by voucher. Need to add sentence to use case that explicitly states this fact. 2. Current Members will never be appointed to be an acting chair. A member will be asked to serve as a acting chair and that will be identified in the attendee role. The attendee_role_code must default to acting chair when a current member is selected. 3. When adding a Non-Member to a Non-FACA Workgroup meeting the member type must default to non-member and this field must not be subject to update. <p>Decision: #1 – to be stated in design doc; #2 – modify to make statement more generic; #3 - Approved</p>				
06	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Defect Fix	Critical	CCB 07 10 2003 item6

	<p>Request:</p> <p><i>Background</i> When the Percentile Maintenance functionality was introduced into the IMPAC II Peer Review module, the concept of workgroups did not exist. With the introduction of workgroups into Peer Review, the coding to exclude workgroup meetings from the list of meetings to include in the percentile base was never added. There are currently no display fields on the screen from which meetings are selected to identify workgroups from the parent meeting. Additionally, CSR has added meetings as workgroups without attaching them to parent meetings, making identification of these meetings as workgroups very difficult programmatically.</p> <p><i>Proposal</i> Coding would be added to the percentile forms in Peer Review to exclude any meetings that are correctly identified as workgroups (the meeting record contains a parent meeting identifier and/or a workgroup sequence number). The form used to select a meeting for which to create a base or to include in a defined base would also be updated to show the group code extension and the number of applications assigned to the meeting, which will further assist a user in selecting the correct meeting to include when the program cannot determine the meeting's parent/workgroup status.</p> <p><i>Timing</i> Timing is critical for this functionality due to the CSR and other ICs creation of the January 2004 percentile bases in mid to late August. These corrections would have to be released before then.</p> <p>Decision: Approved for August release</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Very high visibility; next round of meetings to be held in September 2. Glen Cooley to assist in integration and acceptance testing. Any acceptance bugs found must be brought back to CCB. 3. Design Development hours – 2-4 4. Total test hours – 8 				
W1	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Emergency Fix	Critical	CCB_07_10_2003_it em_w1
	<p>Request: When data is entered into the Third Party name on a Patent reported, the value for that particular filed is being lost. The value was entered, but when you go back to modify the same patent, the value of Third Party is missing. This is a data integrity issue and needs to be corrected ASAP.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>				
*	Sara Silver	Shared Persons Module	Requirements Change	High	Emergency – approved via Email
	<p>Request: For the July release for Persons, one of the degree changes is to discourage the user from entering a duplicate degree for someone. The requirement is to provide a warning message to the user. The developer implemented this with an "OK" button only. If the user clicks on "OK", the duplicate degree gets added, the degree pop-up closes, and the user needs to go back and delete the duplicate degree. Since we believe most users won't take the time to do the delete, it would be better to provide the user with the option of "Save anyway" or "Cancel". "Save anyway" would save and return to Person Admin. "Cancel" would return the user to the degree pop-up and erase the record that has just been entered.</p> <p>This has already been developed, but has not been moved to test.</p> <p>Decision: Approved via Email</p>				